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## CYCLE OF TEACHING AND LEARNING

Assessments are Part of the Cycle of Teaching and Learning

Assessments are just one component of the Cycle of Teaching and Learning, which is based on the New Jersey Student Learning Standards


## CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT CYCLE

Key Metrics:
Formative Summative Benchmark Standardized


## NJSLA Performance Levels for ELA and Math

- Level 1: Not yet meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 2: Partially meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 3: Approaching grade-level expectations
- Level 4: Meeting grade-level expectations
- Level 5: Exceeding grade-level expectations

| LEVEL 1 | LEVEL 2 | LEVEL 3 | LEVEL 4 | LEVEL 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Did Not Meet | Partially Met <br> Expectations <br> Expectations | Approached <br> Expectations | Met <br> Expectations | Exceeded <br> Expectations |

Note: Proficiency requires scores at level 4 or 5 (meeting or exceeding grade level expectations)

## NJSLA Science

| Proficiency Levels by Grade and Cut Score |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADE | LEVEL 1 | LEVEL 2 | LEVEL 3 | LEVEL 4 |
| 5 | $100-149$ | $150-199$ | $200-242$ | $243-300$ |
| 8 | $100-149$ | $150-199$ | $200-230$ | $231-300$ |

Note: Proficiency requires scores at level 3 or 4

## Percentage of Students Tested Based on Enrollment

| Grade 3 |  | ELA | Mathematics | Science |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enrollment | 435 | 435 |  |
|  | Students Tested | 430 | 433 |  |
|  | Percentage | $98.9 \%$ | $99.5 \%$ |  |
|  | Enrollment | 426 | 426 |  |
|  | Students Tested | 424 | 426 |  |
|  | Percentage | $99.5 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Grade 5 | Enrollment | 446 | 446 | 446 |
|  | Students Tested | 441 | 443 | 443 |
|  | Percentage | $98.9 \%$ | $99.3 \%$ | $99.3 \%$ |

## Percentage of Students Tested Based on Enrollment

|  |  | ELA | Mathematics | Science |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 6 | Enrollment | 457 | 457 |  |
|  | Students Tested | 455 | 457 |  |
|  | Percentage | 99.6\% | 100\% |  |
| Grade 7 | Enrollment | 439 | 376 |  |
|  | Students Tested | 436 | 373 |  |
|  | Percentage | 99.3\% | 99.2\% |  |
| Grade 8 | Enrollment | 482 | 290 | 482 |
|  | Students Tested | 479 | 290 | 482 |
|  | Percentage | 99.3\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  |  | Algebra 1 (Grades 7 and 8) | Geometry (Grade 8) |  |
|  | Enrollment | 194 | 61 |  |
|  | Students Tested | 193 | 61 |  |
|  | Percentage | 99.4\% | 100\% |  |

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Students Tested Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations English Language Arts - Percentages

| Grade | 2022 | 2023 | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 100 | 98.9 | -1.1 |
| 4 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 0 |
| 5 | 98.9 | 98.9 | 0 |
| 6 | 98.2 | 99.6 | $\mathbf{1 . 4}$ |
| 7 | 98.1 | 99.3 |  |
| 8 | 98.2 | 99.3 | +1.2 |

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Students Tested Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations Mathematics- Percentages

| Grade | 2022 | 2023 | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 100 | 99.5 | -0.5 |
| 4 | 99.8 | 100 | +0.2 |
| 5 | 99.1 | 99.3 | +0.2 |
| 6 | 97.9 | 100 | $+\mathbf{+ 2 . 1}$ |
| 7 | 98.8 | 99.2 | +0.4 |
| 8 | 97.2 | 100 | +2.8 |
| Algebra 1 | 99.5 | 99.4 | 100 |
| Geometry |  |  |  |

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Students Tested Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations Science- Percentages

| Grade | 2022 | 2023 | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 100 | 99.3 | -0.7 |
| 8 | 100 | 100 | 0 |

# NJSLA ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS <br> Katherine Ebbeson <br> Curriculum Supervisor 

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools to NJ State
English Language Arts
Total Student Proficiency Percentages for Spring 2023

| Grade | Level 1 State | Level 1 District | Level 2 State | Level 2 District | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Level } \\ & 3 \\ & \text { State } \end{aligned}$ | Level 3 District | Level 4 State | Level 4 District | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 5 \\ \text { State } \end{gathered}$ | Level 5 District | Met or Exceeded State | Met or Exceeded District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 20.7 | 18.1 | 14.9 | 15.1 | 22.5 | 20.7 | 36.7 | 42.6 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 41.9 | 46 |
| 4 | 12.8 | 8.7 | 14.6 | 12.3 | 21.3 | 19.1 | 36.5 | 46.5 | 14.8 | 13.4 | 51.3 | 59.9 |
| 5 | 12.3 | 7.9 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 20.3 | 19.3 | 43.3 | 46.9 | 9.9 | 13.8 | 53.3 | 60.8 |
| 6 | 12.0 | 5.9 | 14.4 | 9.0 | 24.6 | 20.7 | 37.6 | 45.9 | 11.4 | 18.5 | 49 | 64.4 |
| 7 | 11.7 | 7.3 | 12.7 | 8.5 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 32.5 | 40.1 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 55.7 | 63.3 |
| 8 | 12.9 | 13.4 | 11.6 | 17.1 | 20.1 | 23.4 | 35.8 | 34.7 | 19.5 | 11.5 | 55.3 | 46.1 |

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations English Language Arts - Percentages

| Grade | Level 1 2022 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 1 \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | Level 2 2022 | Level 2 2023 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 3 \\ 2022 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 3 \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Level } 4 \\ 2022 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 4 \\ 2023 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Level } \\ 5 \\ 2022 \end{gathered}$ | Level 5 2023 | Change in Level 1 and Level 2 from 2022 to 2023 | Change in <br> Level 4 and Level 5 from $2022 \text { to }$ $2023$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 13.6 | 18.1 | 12.2 | 15.1 | 25.8 | 20.7 | 41.5 | 42.6 | 6.9 | 3.5 | +7.4 | -2.3 |
| 4 | 9.9 | 8.7 | 10.6 | 12.3 | 23.4 | 19.1 | 39.1 | 46.5 | 17 | 13.4 | +0.5 | +3.8 |
| 5 | 5.7 | 7.9 | 13.4 | 12 | 21.1 | 19.3 | 45.8 | 46.9 | 13.9 | 13.8 | +0.8 | +1 |
| 6 | 4.1 | 5.9 | 8.2 | 9 | 26 | 20.7 | 49.2 | 45.9 | 12.5 | 18.5 | +2.6 | +2.7 |
| 7 | 9.6 | 7.3 | 11.2 | 8.5 | 23.7 | 20.9 | 33.5 | 40.1 | 22 | 23.2 | -5 | +7.8 |
| 8 | 7.4 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 17.1 | 21.1 | 23.4 | 44 | 34.7 | 14.3 | 11.5 | +9.8 | -12.1 |

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations English Language Arts - Percentages


## ELA Grades 3 \& 4

 Mount Laurel Compared to New Jersey Proficiency of Total Student Population

## ELA Grades 5 \& 6 <br> Mount Laurel Compared to New Jersey Proficiency of Total Student Population



## ELA Grades 7 \& 8 <br> Mount Laurel Compared to New Jersey Proficiency of Total Student Population



## Disaggregated Results: ELA

# Grade 3 ELA District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population 



## Grade 3 ELA <br> District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



## Grade 4 ELA District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



## Grade 4 ELA <br> District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



## Grade 5 ELA District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



## Grade 5 ELA <br> District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



# Grade 6 ELA District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population 



## Grade 6 ELA <br> District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



# Grade 7 ELA <br> District Demographics <br> Proficiency of Total Student Population 



## Grade 7 ELA <br> District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



## Grade 8 ELA District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



## Grade 8 ELA <br> District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population



## Cohort Analysis: ELA

# English Language Arts/Literacy <br> Grade 4 Cohort Comparison for the District Percentage of Students That Met or Exceeded Expectations 

# English Language Arts/Literacy <br> Grade 5 Cohort Comparison for the District Percentage of Students That Met or Exceeded Expectations 

# English Language Arts/Literacy <br> Grade 6 Cohort Comparison for the District Percentage of Students That Met or Exceeded Expectations 



```
English Language Arts/Literacy
Grade 7 Cohort Comparison for the District
Percentage of Students That Met or Exceeded
Expectations
1 0 0
90
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7 0
6 0
61.7 63.3
5 0
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1 0
0

\title{
English Language Arts/Literacy \\ Grade 8 Cohort Comparison for the District Percentage of Students That Met or Exceeded Expectations
}
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\section*{Key Takeaways - ELA}
- Overall, the number of students in Mount Laurel who met or exceeded grade-level expectations is greater than the number of students in New Jersey who met or exceeded grade-level expectations in grades 3-7.
- There are fewer students in Mount Laurel performing below benchmark (scoring within the level 1-3 range) than the number of students in New Jersey performing below benchmark in grades 3-7.
- Certain populations of students are underperforming when compared to the district and state, including students who are Hispanic, African American, economically disadvantaged, English Language Learners, and those who receive special education services.

\section*{Target Areas and Next Steps ELA}

Target Areas:
- 8th grade overall performance
- Specific populations, including students who are Hispanic, African American, economically disadvantaged, English Language Learners, and students receiving special education services.

Next Steps:
- Continued partnership with Teachers College Advancing Literacy
- Vertical articulation and alignment of instructional practices and expectations, especially from 7th grade to 8th grade
- District Data Team Training and related ongoing professional development
- District Equity Training and related ongoing professional development
- Collaborative work within our District Literacy Committee

\title{
NJSLA MATHEMATICS
}

Michelle Poolaw
Curriculum Supervisor

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools to NJ State Mathematics
Total Student Proficiency Percentages for Spring 2023
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Grade & Leve I 1 Stat e & Level 1 District & Level 2 State & \begin{tabular}{l}
Level 2 \\
District
\end{tabular} & Level 3 State & Level 3 District & Level 4 State & Level 4 District & Level 5 State & Level 5 District & Met or Exceede d State & Met or Exceeded District \\
\hline 3 & 12.5 & 9 & 16.7 & 11.5 & 24.8 & 21.9 & 34 & 46 & 11.9 & 11.5 & 45.9 & 57.5 \\
\hline 4 & 13.1 & 8 & 17.8 & 12.2 & 24.7 & 27.5 & 37.2 & 43.7 & 7.1 & 8.7 & 44.4 & 52.3 \\
\hline 5 & 13.1 & 6.3 & 21.4 & 19.6 & 25.5 & 32.5 & 31.4 & 32.7 & 8.7 & 8.8 & 40 & 41.5 \\
\hline 6 & 14.2 & 8.5 & 23.2 & 19.9 & 28.3 & 27.6 & 27.7 & 33.3 & 6.6 & 10.7 & 34.3 & 44 \\
\hline 7 & 12.7 & 6.2 & 22.8 & 15 & 30.7 & 32.7 & 29 & 43.4 & 4.8 & 2.7 & 33.8 & 46.1 \\
\hline 8 & 33.9 & 36.2 & 26.9 & 25.2 & 21.4 & 23.1 & 16.7 & 15.5 & 1.1 & 0 & 17.8 & 15.5 \\
\hline Algebra 1 & 15.9 & 0 & 25.9 & 2.1 & 23.1 & 8.3 & 29.7 & 62.2 & 5.3 & 27.5 & 35 & 89.6 \\
\hline Geometry & 5.7 & 0 & 14.3 & 0 & 29.5 & 4.9 & 41.1 & 62.3 & 9.4 & 32.8 & 50.5 & 95.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations
Mathematics - Percentages
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Grade & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } 1 \\
2022
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } \\
1 \\
2023
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline \text { Level } 2 \\
2022
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } 2 \\
2023
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } \\
3 \\
2022
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } \\
3 \\
2023
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } 4 \\
2022
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } \\
4 \\
2023
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } \\
5 \\
2022
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level } \\
5 \\
2023
\end{gathered}
\] & Change in Level 1 and Level 2 from 2022 to 2023 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Change \\
in Level \\
4 and \\
Level 5 \\
from \\
2022 to \\
2023
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3 & 8.1 & 9 & 13.1 & 11.5 & 22.7 & 21.9 & 40.1 & 46 & 16 & 11.5 & -0.7 & +1.4 \\
\hline 4 & 6 & 8 & 17.7 & 12.2 & 27.5 & 27.5 & 41.1 & 43.7 & 7.8 & 8.7 & -3.5 & +3.5 \\
\hline 5 & 4.8 & 6.3 & 17.4 & 19.6 & 33.2 & 32.5 & 34.9 & 32.7 & 9.7 & 8.8 & +.3.7 & -3.1 \\
\hline 6 & 7.5 & 8.5 & 17.6 & 19.9 & 28.5 & 27.6 & 39.3 & 33.3 & 7.1 & 10.7 & +3.3 & -2.4 \\
\hline 7 & 8.7 & 6.2 & 21.7 & 15 & 30.5 & 32.7 & 34.0 & 43.4 & 5 & 2.7 & -9.2 & +7.1 \\
\hline 8 & 20 & 36.2 & 35.6 & 25.2 & 27.3 & 23.1 & 17.1 & 15.5 & 0 & 0 & +5.8 & -1.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations Mathematics - Percentages
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Grade & Change in Level 1 and Level 2 from 2022 to 2023 State & Change in Level 1 and Level 2 from 2022 to 2023 District & Change in Level 4 and Level 5 from 2022 to 2023 State & Change in Level 4 and Level 5 from 2022 to 2023 District \\
\hline 3 & \(-2.4\) & -0.7 & +. 5 & +1.4 \\
\hline 4 & -4.8 & -3.5 & +4.9 & +3.5 \\
\hline 5 & -3.6 & +3.7 & +4.1 & -3.1 \\
\hline 6 & -2.8 & +3.3 & +3 & -2.4 \\
\hline 7 & 1 & -9.2 & -0.2 & +7.1 \\
\hline 8 & -1.5 & +5.8 & +2.4 & -1.6 \\
\hline Algebra 1 & +1.3 & +0.6 & +0.1 & +9 \\
\hline Geometry & -5.6 & 0 & +6.4 & +7.9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Math Grades 3 \& 4 Mount Laurel Compared to New Jersey Proficiency of Total Student Population


\section*{Math Grades 5 \& 6 Mount Laurel Compared to New Jersey Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Math Grades 7 \& 8 Mount Laurel Compared to New Jersey Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Algebra 1 and Geometry Mount Laurel Compared to New Jersey Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Disaggregated Results: Math}

\section*{Grade 3 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 3 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 4 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 5 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 5 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 4 Math \\ District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 6 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 6 Math \\ District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 7 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 7 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 8 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 8 Math District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


Algebra 1
District Demographics
Proficiency of Total Student Population


Algebra 1
District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population


\section*{Geometry \\ District Demographics \\ Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Geometry \\ District Demographics \\ Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Cohort Analysis: Math}

\title{
Mathematics \\ Grade 4 Cohort Comparison for the District Percentage of Students That Met or Exceeded Expectations
}


\title{
Mathematics \\ Grade 5 Cohort Comparison for the District Percentage of Students That Met or Exceeded Expectations
}

\title{
Mathematics \\ Grade 6 Cohort Comparison for the District Percentage of Students That Met or Exceeded Expectations
}


30

20

10

0

\section*{Key Takeaways - Math}
- We consistently outperformed the state by the number of students scoring in the met or exceeded expectations levels.
- Approximately \(20-30 \%\) of our students across the grades are approaching expectations (Level 3).
- In most grades, our underrepresented populations, such as students who are Hispanic, African American, economically disadvantaged, English Language Learners, and those who receive special education services are underperforming compared to the state and district averages.
- Our 7th and 8th graders in Algebra 1 and Geometry are performing better than the state, including students in 9th and 10th grade taking the same assessments.

\section*{Target Areas and Next Steps Math}
- Target Areas:
- Vertical and Horizontal Consistency
- Supporting our underrepresented students
- Equity and Inclusion
- Ongoing support and targeted professional development
- Next Steps:
- Review of our programs and instruction
- Creating a District Math Committee
- Identifying areas for improvement and change
- Resources
- ESU (Educational Services Unit) -
- Extra support in Math and ELA for our Title 1 Schools to give everyone what they need
- Work with teachers to analyze data to inform targeted instruction

\title{
NJSLA SCIENCE
}

Jared Caltabiano
Curriculum Supervisor

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools to NJ State Science
Total Student Proficiency Percentages for Spring 2023
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Grade & Level 1 State & Level 1 District & Level 2 State & Level 2 District & Level 3 State & Level 3 District & Level 4 State & Level 4 District & \begin{tabular}{l}
Level 3 \\
or 4 \\
State
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Level 3 \\
or 4 \\
District
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5 & 38.4 & 27.3 & 34.8 & 39.5 & 21.1 & 26.2 & 5.7 & 7 & 26.8 & 33.2 \\
\hline 8 & 40 & 30.7 & 41.5 & 42.7 & 14.2 & 21.2 & 4.4 & 5.4 & 18.5 & 26.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations Science - Percentages
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Grade & Level 1 2022 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Level 1 \\
2023
\end{tabular} & Level 2
\[
2022
\] & Level 2 2023 & Level 3
\[
2022
\] & Level 3
\[
2023
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Level } 4 \\
2022
\end{array}
\] & Level 4
\[
2023
\] & Change in Level 1 and Level 2 from
\[
2022 \text { to }
\]
\[
2023
\] & Change in Level 3 and Level 4 from 2022 to 2023 \\
\hline 5 & 22.5 & 27.3 & 35.3 & 39.5 & 27.6 & 26.2 & 14.6 & 7 & +9 & -9 \\
\hline 8 & 30.1 & 30.7 & 51.3 & 42.7 & 15.3 & 21.2 & 3.3 & 5.4 & -8 & +8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Comparison of Mount Laurel Schools Spring 2022 and 2023 NJSLA Administrations Science - Percentages


\section*{Science Grades 5 \& 8 Mount Laurel Compared to New Jersey Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 5 Science District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 5 Science District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 8 Science District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Grade 8 Science District Demographics Proficiency of Total Student Population}


\section*{Key Takeaways - Science}
- The district continues to perform above state avg. in achieving proficiency in both grade 5 and 8 .
- \(9 \%\) decrease in proficiency + in Grade 5
- \(8 \%\) increase in proficiency + in Grade 8
- \(40 \%\) (Grade 5) and \(43 \%\) (Grade 8 ) of students are near proficient (Level 2).
- Groups of students are underperforming compared to peers i.e. Hispanic and African American, Economically disadvantaged, Special Ed, and ELL students.

\section*{Target Areas and Next Steps Science}

Target Areas
- Strengthen the critical thinking and Sensemaking skills of all students.
- Support underserved students in engaging in science and achieving proficiency.

Next Steps
- Ongoing reflection and adjustment to the updated Middle School Science C \& I program.
- Targeted PD to improve instruction and assessment to better support students in meeting the performance expectations of NGSS.
- Complete C \& I review and revision in grades 3-5 which is well adapted to NGSS, and supports horizontal and vertical alignment.
- Ongoing equity training aimed to provide access to a high quality learning experience for all students.
- Data training to identify and target students' focus skills.
- Implement an ESL STEAM Club in all buildings to engage and support ESL students in Science and Engineering practices and experiences.

\title{
ACCESS
}

Matt Saul
Curriculum Supervisor

\section*{2023-24 ELL Program}

\section*{92}

Current number of students identified as ELL

\section*{18}

Number of exited students - 202223

\section*{15}


Students who moved out of the district since spring 2022


Students who moved into the district for 2022-23

\section*{ACCESS for ELLs}

\section*{Language Domain}

Listening

\section*{Speaking}

\section*{Reading}

\section*{Writing}


\section*{6 Proficiency Levels}

\section*{1 - Entering}

Knows and uses minimal social language and minimal academic language with visual and graphic support

\section*{2 - Emerging}

Knows and uses some social English and general academic language with visual and graphic support

\section*{3 - Developing}

Knows and uses social English and some specific academic language with visual and graphic support

\section*{4 - Expanding}

Knows and uses social language and some technical academic language

\section*{5 - Bridging}

Knows and uses social and academic language working with grade level material

\section*{6 - Reaching}

Knows and uses social and academic language at the highest level measured by this test

\section*{ACCESS Test Results}

\section*{2022-23}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Not Yet Proficient & Proficient \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
(Score of Lower Than \\
4.5 )
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
(Score of 4.5 or \\
Higher)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(80.6 \%(81)\) & \(19.4 \%(19)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{2021-22}

Not Yet Proficient
Proficient
(Score of Lower
(Score of 4.5 Than 4.5 ) \(78 \%(81)\) or Higher) 22\% (23)

\section*{Key Takeaways}
- Total overall number of ELLS remains consistent
- 30\% of students are new to the district this year - same as 2022-23.
- \(16 \%\) of ELLs moved out of the district since last year
- \(20 \%\) of ELLs met the criteria to enter mainstream program
- Number of exited students remains consistent

\section*{Target Areas and Next Steps}
- 2 areas of focus for 2023-24 - Speaking \& Writing
- Identify PD opportunities with Teachers College for Writing Workshop designed for English Learners.
- Speaking skills for Newcomer/Beginner ELLS focused on scaffolded supports and vocabulary acquisition
- Speaking skills for Intermediate ELLs focused on expanding sentence structures, vocabulary and expressive language organization skills

\title{
DLM ASSESSMENT
}

Dynamic Learning Maps

\author{
Dr. Diane Willard \\ Director of Special Services
}

The DLM was developed in order to assist educators in the identification of specific needs for students with significant developmental disabilities. It is aligned with essential educational elements and provides baseline data to guide instruction.

The plan is administered individually by teachers via testlet modules over a period of time during the Spring State assessment window.

\section*{Achievement Levels}

The following are designated levels of achievement:
Emerging - The student demonstrates an emerging understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by Essential Elements.

Approaching Target - The student's understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by Essential Elements is at the approaching targeted level of achievement.

At Target - The student's understanding of and ability to apply content knowledge and skills represented by Essential Elements is at the targeted level.

Advanced - The student demonstrates advanced understanding of and ability to apply targeted content knowledge and skills represented by Essential Elements.

\section*{DLM Test Results Grades 3-8}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Level 1 \\
Emerging
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Level 2 \\
Approaching
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Level 3 \\
At Target
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\underline{\text { Level 4 }}\) \\
Advanced
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
English \\
Language Arts
\end{tabular} & 24 & 8 & 11 & 1 \\
\hline Mathematics & 23 & 9 & 8 & 4 \\
\hline Science & 6 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Key Takeaways - DLM}
- The majority of our students with significant developmental delays are at an "Emerging Level" overall.
- However, a little over half of our students in grades \(3^{\text {rd }}-8^{\text {th }}\) have reached the "At Target" or "Advanced" Levels on the Essential Elements assessed.
- Further analysis of the data indicated that ELA was found to be the area more significantly impacted for our students with significant developmental delays.

\section*{Target Areas and Next Steps DLM}

Teachers are able to analyze the data obtained from the DLM results in order to plan for future instruction, IEP objectives and to monitor the progress toward the achievement of the Essential Elements for each student individually. This data is important when comparing the growth of our students to NJ State Standards.

\title{
SUMMARY OBSERVATIONS AND ACTIONS
}

\section*{Summary Observations and Actions}
- Our students continue to perform above state averages in all assessments given.
- Conduct data cycles to determine individual:
- Areas of Strength
- Areas for Support
- Strategies for Individual Growth and Curriculum Pacing
- Conduct deeper data dives into subgroups with performance gaps.
- Continue to use this data as one of several measures to identify students for Reading and Math intervention, other in school supports, enrichment, summer programming and progress monitoring.

\section*{Summary Observations and Actions}
- Professional Development
- Building and sustaining a culture of inclusion, belonging, and opportunity for all students.
- Use data-driven decision making to meet the academic and social-emotional needs of all students
- Continue to develop curriculum that develops the whole child to be open-minded, critical thinkers, using evidenced-based social-emotional practices in all grades aligned to the most current New Jersey Student Learning Standards.

\section*{Behind every data point there is a child.}

Visit the district website to view the presentation and to learn more...```

